

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	-ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,595	09/21/2001		Bob M. Dobbins	801.0004	5427	
27997 DD 10007 0 0	7590	12/01/2004	•	EXAMINER		
PRIEST & (5015 SOUTH				CHILCOT, RICHARD E		
SUITE 230	SC 27712	7726		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DURHAM, NC 27713-7736				3627		

- 3627 DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	09/960,595	DOBBINS, BOB M.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Richard E. Chilcot, Jr.	3627	M4)					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app -Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress -					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti v within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered tim n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ety. communication.					
Status			-					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	eptember 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to th	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 26-30,109-116,119 and 120 is/are per	nding in the application							
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 117 and 118 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 								
6) Claim(s) 26-30,109-116,119 and 120 is/are rej	ected							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ected.							
8) Claim(s) are subjected to:	r clastica requirement							
are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	•						
		tion No						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	eu.						
NA.								
Attachment(s)			. 64					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan	V (PTO-413)	·					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (P1	'O-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			S-1-1-2-1-1-2					
UTICS AC	cion auminary P	art of Paper No./Mail I	Jaie 20041124					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 26-30, 109, 111, 112, 115 and 116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brooks in view of Miller et al. and Reger.

Brooks et al. disclose a plurality of drop safes (24). The drop safes include bill acceptors (44) and controllers (36), which are connected in a local area network by data interfaces (42B). While Brooks et al. teach essentially all the elements of the claimed invention, Brooks et al. fails to disclose a wireless network and updateable firmware. On the other hand, Miller et al. disclose a plurality of safes (40) that are connected by a wireless network (21) that allows updating of prices (col. 11, lines 22-25). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the wireless network of Miller et al. for the network of Brooks et al. in light of the disclosure found in col. 7, lines 10-15 of Brooks et al. which states that the data interface (42B) can be any suitable communication link.

With respect to the firmware being updateable, Reger is submitted for such a teaching. In particular, Reger teaches a vending machine (40) having a coin acceptor (42), a bill acceptor (44) and a card reader (46) and an optically updated embedded system (10) including the ROM housing the bill acceptor algorithms.

Accordingly, to employ an updateable firmware as taught by Reger in the drop safe system of Brooks would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill at the time of

Art Unit: 3627

the invention. The motivation for such a change would have been to provide a reliable, quick and cost effective method for updating program memory in an embedded system without the need for on site technical expertise.

Claims 110, 113 and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brooks in view of Miller et al. and Reger as applied to claim 26 above. Further, merely calling for the particular information in the data transmitted to the safes is only a recitation of intended use. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claims 119 and 120 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brooks in view of Miller et al. and Reger as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Green et al.

Brooks et al., as modified by Miller et al. and Reger, disclose all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except the wide area network. On the other hand Green et al. disclose a computer 30 in Fig. 23 that is connected in a local area network with devices 34 and a wide area network 48 to other computers 44 and 46, see col. 9, lines 54-63. The devices 34 of Green et al. may include a deposit accepting machine, see col. 9, lines 1-3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view

Application/Control Number: 09/960,595

Art Unit: 3627

of the showing and teaching of Green et al to also connect the network of Brooks to awide area network.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-30, 109-116, 119 and 120 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/960,595. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3627

Any_inquiry_concerning_this_communication.or_earlier_communications_from_the_ examiner should be directed to Richard E. Chilcot, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-305-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard E. Chilcot, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627